Tingey, Christopher

From:

Sent:

Thanks,

To:

Subject: Attachments:	FW: Review of NBU 6-W42 and NBU 5-W41 Message from "RNP56C5A5"
From: Erwin Pino [mailto:erwin.pi Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 1:49 To: Todd, Robert <todd.robert@ Cc: Bierschenk, Arnold <bierschen Subject: RE: Review of NBU 6-W4</bierschen </todd.robert@ 	PM epa.gov> nk.arnold@epa.gov>; Harvill, Jana <harvill.jana@epa.gov></harvill.jana@epa.gov>
Robert,	
	csg to 2903' & cemented w/50 sks reg cement. Ran 1" tbg to 320' between 4-1/2" & 8-ement from 320' to surface. The USDW was protected. For details see the attached
•	eze off formation @ 2942' w/50 sks class H cement. Filled hole with mud. Cut off 4-1/2" w/tbg & pumped 125 sks reg cement from 291' to surface. The USDW was protected.
NBU #5-W23. P&A in 1989. Filled sks reg cement from 280' to surfa	hole with mud. Cut off 4-1/2" csg @ 220' & POOH w/same. RIH w/tbg & pumped 110 ce. The USDW was protected.
The following wells have been recompliance.	plugged by the EPA standards (see attachment), so these wells were brought into
 NBU #10-5 NBU #10-6 NBU #10-8 NBU #16-14 NBU #4-3. In progress 	
	on the North side of Phase I are being installed and they will be completed in two itor well completion reports, logging, and initial analysis as soon as they are completed.
Let me know if you have any ques	tions or comments.

Bierschenk, Arnold

Tingey, Christopher

Wednesday, February 14, 2018 2:42 PM

Erwin Pino

Regulatory Engineer Chaparral Energy, L.L.C. 701 Cedar Lake Blvd. Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73114 Direct Phone (405) 426-4081 Direct Fax (405) 425-8681

From: Todd, Robert [mailto:Todd.Robert@epa.gov]

Sent: Tuesday, July 16, 2013 2:26 PM

To: Erwin Pino

Subject: Review of NBU 6-W42 and NBU 5-W41

Erwin,

We've reviewed your request for injection for NBUs 6-W42 and 5-W41.

We need clarification and further documentation for the following wells 6-w23, This summary for this active injector shows to the operator had 1" tubing run to 320' between the 4½ and 8 5/8 tubing with 105 sx cement pumped, however the documentation show the top of cement was tagged at 1775' below surface. The outer casing, the 8 5/8 shows cement from 120' to surface. Please provide documentation of the cementing procedures and records so we can determine adequacy for this well.

5-W22, The summary for this well, P&Ad in 1990, isn't clear that the casing was cemented 50' below the USDW or that the hole was filled with cement to surface after the 4 ½ casing was pulled.

5-W23, The summary for this well, P&Ad in 1989, isn't clear that the casing was cemented 50' below the USDW or that the hole was filled with cement to surface after the 4 ½ casing was pulled, such that the USDW is protected from 50" below the USDW.

Thanks, Robert

Robert M. Todd, P.E.
US EPA Region 6
1445 Ross Ave.
Dallas, Tx, 75202
(214) 665-2156 (direct)
(214) 665-2191 (fax)
Todd.Robert@epa.gov